Preference for Geometric Patterns Early in Life as a Risk Factor for Autism
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**Context:** Early identification efforts are essential for the early treatment of the symptoms of autism but can only occur if robust risk factors are found. Children with autism often engage in repetitive behaviors and anecdotally prefer to visually examine geometric repetition, such as the moving blade of a fan or the spinning of a car wheel. The extent to which a preference for looking at geometric repetition is an early risk factor for autism has yet to be examined.

**Objectives:** To determine if toddlers with an autism spectrum disorder (ASD) aged 14 to 42 months prefer to visually examine dynamic geometric images more than social images and to determine if visual fixation patterns can correctly classify a toddler as having an ASD.

**Design:** Toddlers were presented with a 1-minute movie depicting moving geometric patterns on 1 side of a video monitor and children in high action, such as dancing or doing yoga, on the other. Using this preferential looking paradigm, total fixation duration and the number of saccades within each movie type were examined using eye tracking technology.

**Setting:** University of California, San Diego Autism Center of Excellence.

**Participants:** One hundred ten toddlers participated in final analyses (37 with an ASD, 22 with developmental delay, and 51 typical developing toddlers).

**Main Outcome Measure:** Total fixation time within the geometric patterns or social images and the number of saccades were compared between diagnostic groups.

**Results:** Overall, toddlers with an ASD as young as 14 months spent significantly more time fixating on dynamic geometric images than other diagnostic groups. If a toddler spent more than 69% of his or her time fixating on geometric patterns, then the positive predictive value for accurately classifying that toddler as having an ASD was 100%.

**Conclusion:** A preference for geometric patterns early in life may be a novel and easily detectable early signature of infants and toddlers at risk for autism.

IT IS UNDENIABLE THAT EARLY treatment can have a significant positive impact on the long-term outcome for children with an autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Early treatment, however, generally relies on the age at which a diagnosis can be made, thus pushing early identification research into a category of high public health priority. Unfortunately, easily implemented methods for facilitating early identification remain to be found.

Eye tracking technology holds promise as an objective method for characterizing the early features of autism because it can be implemented with individuals of virtually any age or functioning level. Historically, the bulk of eye tracking studies have been conducted with older children, adolescents, and adults with autism. In one of the first studies on this topic, Klin and colleagues showed that when watching a socially intense movie, adults with autism predominantly looked at the mouth region of the actors whereas typical subjects looked at the eye region. Bringing this effort into the childhood years, Jones and colleagues later showed that even 2-year-olds with autism spent more time fixating on the mouth region than the eyes during face viewing. They raised the provocative possibility that how social images are visually examined could be an early warning sign for autism.

Continuing with the idea that reduced fixation time on the eye region could be diagnostically revealing, Merin and colleagues studied 6-month-olds at risk for autism by virtue of having a sibling with the disorder and found abnormalities similar to
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the Jones et al experiment: in contrast to typical infants, baby siblings at risk for having an ASD spent more time looking at the mouth region than the eyes. Given the young age of the baby sibling sample, the eventual diagnoses of these infants were not known at the time. In a follow-up study that used virtually the identical sample of baby siblings, Young and colleagues13 examined the clinical outcome of these subjects and found that, contrary to their expectations, eye gaze patterns at 6 months did not predict diagnostic outcome. That is, many of the baby siblings who had reduced examination of the eye region at 6 months were not considered to have autism later in childhood. This makes sense given that even typically developing (TD) 3- and 6-month-old infants have been shown to be highly inconsistent in where they look during face viewing in comparison with older infants.14 Furthermore, the infant brain is undergoing an explosion of activity during the first year of life, a period when the number of synapses reaches a peak in many areas that is twice that of the adult15 and brain volume doubles in size in comparison with birth.16 Given the active pace of brain development during the infancy period combined with high intersubject variability of eye tracking patterns to faces during this time, examining the percentage of time an infant attends to the eye region of a face may not be stable enough to make diagnostically predictive claims, especially at the individual subject level.

An alternative method to investigate early indicators of autism is to measure a very simple behavior: preference. Using a preferential looking paradigm wherein 2 images are placed side by side, Klin and colleagues17 found a statistically reduced preference for biological motion in 2-year-olds with autism. Specifically, the 2-year-olds with autism are placed side by side, Klin and colleagues17 found a statistically reduced preference for biological motion in 2-year-olds with autism. Interestingly, in the first prospective study of infant brain development during the infancy period combined with high intersubject variability of eye tracking patterns to faces during this time, examining the percentage of time an infant attends to the eye region of a face may not be stable enough to make diagnostically predictive claims, especially at the individual subject level.

To test this possibility, a preferential looking paradigm was developed that examined looking time toward highly salient social images, such as children dancing and doing yoga, in comparison with highly salient geometric images, such as repeating and moving concentric circles. Past studies have suggested that using highly salient or attention-directing stimuli may be critical for maximizing the potential for more typical patterns of brain activity in autism.39,40 To consider how a preference for geometric patterns may change during development, a wide age range of toddlers with an ASD spanning from 14 to 42 months were studied. Finally, to examine the degree to which preference patterns are related to delayed language or cognitive development rather than autism per se, a contrast group consisting of children with DD matched in ability to the ASD group was included.

**PARTICIPANTS**

Subjects were recruited from 2 sources: general community referral (eg, Web site) and a general population-based screening method called the 1-Year Well-Baby Check-Up Approach (K.P., C. Carter, PhD, M. Weinfield, PhD, J.D., R.H., R. Bjork, MD, N. Gallagher, BA, unpublished data, 2006-2009). Using this method, toddlers at risk for an ASD, language delay, and DD as young as 12 months were identified with a broadband screening instrument, the Communication and Symbolic Behavior Scales Developmental Profile Infant-Toddler Checklist,41,42 and were recruited and tracked every 6 months until their third birthday. This method thus allowed for the prospective study of autism beginning at 12 months of age. Typically developing controls were obtained from community referrals. All toddlers participated in a series of tests across multiple 2-hour sessions that included the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule–Toddler Module (ADOS-T), newly validated for use with infants as young as 12 months,43 and the Mullen Scales of Early Learning.44 Parents were also interviewed with the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales.45 Toddlers participated in additional behavioral (eg, play) and biological (eg, magnetic resonance imaging) tests as part of a larger study. (For more information, see www.autismsandiego.org.) All standardized assessments were administered by 2 highly expe-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>ASD (n=37)</th>
<th>DD (n=22)</th>
<th>TD (n=51)</th>
<th>ASD vs TD</th>
<th>ASD vs DD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M/F, No.</td>
<td>30/7</td>
<td>16/6</td>
<td>35/17</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mo</td>
<td>26.7 (7.7) [14-42]</td>
<td>22.7 (8.5) [12-41]</td>
<td>24.6 (8.2) [12-43]</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mullen verbal DQ</td>
<td>63.5 (21.4)</td>
<td>69.4 (18.6)</td>
<td>108 (17.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mullen nonverbal DQ</td>
<td>78.4 (21.6)</td>
<td>81.5 (28.5)</td>
<td>118 (19.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales45 standard score</td>
<td>87.7 (12.8)</td>
<td>84.3 (12.8)</td>
<td>103.1 (9.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS-T social affect score</td>
<td>12.5 (4.3)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.0)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS-T restricted repetitive score</td>
<td>4.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.95 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.67)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOS-T total score</td>
<td>16.7 (4.7)</td>
<td>6.18 (3.5)</td>
<td>1.86 (1.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADOS-T, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule- Toddler Module44; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; DD, developmental delay; DQ, developmental quotient; Mullen, Mullen Scales of Early Learning46; TD, typically developing.

Overall, 138 toddlers aged 12 to 43 months participated. Twenty-eight (10 with an ASD, 11 with TD, 7 with DD) were excluded from final analyses because of noncompliance during testing, which almost always resulted in less than 50% valid trials. The final group of 110 toddlers consisted of 37 with an ASD (27 with autistic disorder, 9 with pervasive developmental delay not otherwise specified, 1 with ASD features), 51 with TD, and 22 with DD (12 with language delay, 10 with global developmental delay). While several of the toddlers with an ASD were only a year old at the time of testing, all but 1 have been tracked and diagnosed using the ADOS-T until at least age 24 months, an age shown to be reliable for the diagnosis of autism.43-44 Final diagnoses for participants with an ASD older than 30 months were confirmed with the Autism Diagnostic Interview—Revised.40 Toddlers were determined to have language delay if 1 or both of the language subtest scores of the Mullen Scales of Early Learning were more than 1 SD lower than expected values for that age (ie, a t score <40). Toddlers were determined to have global DD if scores were more than 1 SD lower than expected values on 3 or more of the subtests of the Mullen Scales of Early Learning and the overall developmental quotient was more than 1 SD lower than expected values (ie, <85) (Table).

Thirty-seven TD toddlers were matched on a 1-1 basis to toddlers with an ASD based on age (±3 months) and sex. The remaining 14 TD toddlers were matched based on the chronological age range of the ASD group. Subjects with DD served as a contrast group and were matched to the ASD group based on chronological age, verbal and nonverbal developmental quotient as assessed by the Mullen Scales of Early Learning, and overall functioning as assessed by the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales. There were no significant differences in any of these measures between the ASD and DD groups. As expected, the TD group had a significantly higher verbal developmental quotient, nonverbal developmental quotient, and adaptive behavior score and significantly lower ADOS-T scores than the ASD group. This study was approved by the University of California, San Diego Human Subjects Research Protection Program. Legal guardians of all participants gave written informed consent.

APPARATUS, STIMULI, AND EYE TRACKING PROCEDURE

Apparatus

A Tobii T120 eye tracker (Tobii, Danderyd, Sweden, www.tobii.com) was used to measure toddlers’ fixations and number of saccades in response to a visual stimulus. The binocular eye tracker used infrared light sources and cameras that are integrated into a 17-in-thin film transistor monitor. Using cornal reflection techniques, the Tobii eye tracker records the X and Y coordinates of toddlers’ eye position at a frequency of 120 Hz (ie, 7200 data collections/min). Two additional small cameras were placed on top of the eye tracking monitor to obtain video of each toddler’s behavior during the experiment at all times.

Stimuli

Toddlers were presented with a movie consisting of DGI on 1 side and DSI on the other. The DGI were produced from recordings of animated screen saver programs. The DSI were produced from a series of short sequences of children doing yoga (Yoga Kids 3; G4am, Boulder, Colorado, http://www.g4am.com, created by Marsha Wenig, http://yogakids.com/), which included images of children moving in a dramatic manner (eg, waving arms and appearing as if dancing). Audio information was discarded. The final presentation stimulus was composed of 2 rectangular areas of interest horizontally distributed containing DGI and DSI in which scenes changed in a simultaneous, time-linked fashion (Figure 1). To control for preference that may be mediated by spatial location, the side of presentation of DGI and DSI scenes was randomized across subject and diagnosis so that 50% of toddlers saw a movie containing DSI on the left. The final movie contained a total of 28 scenes with single-scene duration varying from 2 to 4 seconds for a total presentation time of 60 seconds at 24 frames per second.

Procedure

Toddlers were seated on their parent’s lap 60 cm in front of the eye tracking monitor. Parents were read a series of standardized instructions describing the eye tracking procedure. The lights were off during testing and a partition separated the operator from the toddler. To obtain calibration information, toddlers were first shown images of an animated cat that appeared in 1 of 9 locations on the screen. During this procedure, the eye tracker measured characteristics of the toddler’s eyes (eg, corneal light reflection) and used them together with a 3-dimensional eye model to calculate the gaze data. Quality of calibration was displayed as green lines with varying lengths, with shorter lines indicating better calibration. If an infant’s calibration was poor, the procedure was repeated as necessary.

Using a “live tracker” included in the Tobii software (Tobii Studio version 1.3) that superimposes the toddler’s eye tracking data on the test image in real time, the operator observed the infant’s gaze position and head position on a secondary moni-
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Fixation Time

Using Tobii software, fixation data were calculated using a 35-pixel radius filter. Time spent fixating and number of saccades within each area of interest were tabulated for each subject. Off-screen fixations (ie, when a participant looked away from the movie) were determined by fixation coordinates that fell outside the areas of interest. Any subject with total fixation time less than 30 seconds (ie, 50% of the experiment) was excluded from analyses. There was a significant difference in total viewing time between groups ($F_{1,10}=6.66; P=.02$; mean viewing time: ASD, 49.4 seconds; TD, 53.7 seconds; and DD, 48.1 seconds). To compare percentage of fixation time within DGI between the 3 diagnostic groups, a 1-way analysis of variance was performed (diagnostic group [3 levels] x percentage of DGI fixation time [2 levels]). Significant effects were followed by planned contrasts using t tests. To determine the specific percentage of fixation time within DGI that would best discriminate toddlers with an ASD from toddlers with DD and TD, a receiver operating characteristic curve was generated that graphically displayed a plot of the true positives vs false positives using SPSS statistical software (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, http://www.spss.com/statistics). To determine if a preference for geometric patterns or DGI became stronger or weaker with development, percentage of fixation time on DGI was correlated with age for toddlers within each diagnostic group using Pearson product-moment correlations. Bonferroni correction was used with a significance level set at $P<.0125$ for all post hoc comparisons.

Time Course Analysis

To determine if each toddler’s preference was stable across the experiment or changed with time, fixation time data were divided into thirds (ie, percentage of fixation on geometric patterns from 0-19.99 seconds, 20-39.99 seconds, and 40-60 seconds) for each participant and plotted as an average for each major diagnostic group. A repeated-measures analysis of variance was used to examine differences between each of the 3 periods.

Number of Saccades

To determine if the overall number of saccades was different between groups, the total number of saccades divided by the total looking time was determined for each toddler.

Test-Retest Reliability

A subset of 41 toddlers (16 with an ASD, 5 with DD, and 20 with TD) returned for a second eye tracking session 1 to 14 months following the first session (mean [SD], 7.79 [3.2] months later). Test-retest reliability was calculated as the percentage of preference difference between test time 1 and test time 2.

RESULTS

A PREFERENCE FOR DGI IN A SUBGROUP OF TODDLERS WITH AUTISM

Overall, the percentage of time that toddlers spent viewing DGI was significantly different between diagnostic groups ($F_{2,107}=11.8; P<.001$). As a group, toddlers with an ASD spent significantly more fixation time on DGI than TD toddlers ($t_{10}=4.5; P<.001$) and toddlers with DD ($t_{17}=2.7; P=.009$). Forty percent of the ASD group spent greater than 50% of viewing time fixated on DGI in contrast to only 1.9% of TD toddlers and 9% of toddlers with DD. Of the 15 toddlers with an ASD who preferred DGI, more than half spent more than 70% of their time visually examining DGI, with several toddlers exceeding 90% DGI viewing time, a pattern not found in any other group (Figure 2 and Figure 3). As is visually apparent in Figure 2, and confirmed with a receiver operating characteristic curve analysis (area under the curve $=0.686±0.06; P<.001$), when 68.6% geometric pattern viewing time was used as a cutoff, the positive predictive value for an ASD was 100%. Furthermore, a preference for geometric patterns was found in several subjects with an ASD younger than 18 months, with the youngest age being 14 months.

Figure 1. Sample stimuli illustrating 5 movie frames (Yoga Kids 2; Gaiam, Boulder, Colorado, http://www.gaiam.com, created by Marsha Wenig, http://yogakids.com) contained within the larger movie with dynamic geometric images on the right and dynamic social images on the left. Half of the subjects viewed the movie with this orientation and the other half, with the side of dynamic geometric images and dynamic social images reversed. The areas of interest are depicted by the white box highlighted on the first frame.
An examination of the relationship of age on preference revealed no significant correlation between percentage of time viewing DGI (or DSI) and age, for any diagnostic group (ASD: $r=0.06; P=.74$; DD: $r=0.05; P=.80$; TD: $r=0.11; P=.43$). When age was used as a covariate in the overall analysis of variance, the main effect of age in the model was very low ($F_{1,106}=0.51; P=.47$) while the main effect of group was still highly significant ($F_{2,106}=10.9; P<.001$).

Excluding 1 TD toddler who preferred geometric patterns, 50% of viewing time on DSI marks the end of the range for TD toddlers, who all preferred DSI. Using this as a boundary, we next identified 2 subgroups within the larger ASD group: those who preferred DSI (ie, spent >50% of viewing time within DSI) and those who preferred DGI (ie, spent >50% of viewing time within DGI). Considering "geometric" and "social" responders with an ASD as separate groups, we next asked if the overall clinical characteristics differed between these 2 subgroups. Independent-sample $t$ tests revealed no difference between geometric and social responders with an ASD on the social affect ($t_{35}=1.6; P=.10$), restricted and repetitive ($t_{35}=-1.2; P=.23$), or overall ($t_{35}=0.91; P=.36$) ADOS-T scores. There were also no differences in the visual reception ($t_{35}=-0.99; P=.32$), fine motor ($t_{35}=-1.7; P=.32$), receptive language ($t_{35}=-0.98; P=.33$), expressive language ($t_{35}=-0.42; P=.67$), or early learning composite ($t_{35}=-1.2; P=.22$) scores.

**TIME COURSE ANALYSIS**

An examination of differences in fixation on DGI across time for the 4 major groups (geometric responder with an ASD, social responder with an ASD, toddlers with DD, and TD toddlers) revealed a strong main effect of group ($F_{3,106}=49; P<.001$) and follow-up $t$ tests revealed that geometric responders with an ASD spent significantly more time fixating on DGI than other groups during all 3 periods. Although there was a small, significant increase in DGI fixation across time in all groups ($F_{2,212}=12.7; P<.001$), there was no group by time interaction ($F_{6,212}=0.667; P>.05$) (**Figure 4**).

**UNIQUE SACCADE PATTERN IN TODDLERS WITH AN ASD WHO PREFERRED GEOMETRIC IMAGES**

The number of saccades while viewing DGI ($F_{3,110}=4.6; P=.005$) and DSI ($F_{3,110}=8.9; P<.001$) was significantly different between geometric responders with an ASD, social responders with an ASD, and the DD and TD groups. Follow-up $t$ tests revealed that the geometric responders with an ASD had a unique saccade signature and exhibited significantly fewer saccades when they were viewing their preferred geometric stimuli in comparison with all other groups (all $P<.01$). In contrast, when the geometric responders with an ASD viewed their nonpreferred stimuli, namely the social stimuli, they exhibited a significantly greater number of saccades in contrast to TD toddlers and toddlers with DD. The significance level in contrast to social responders with an ASD was $P=.02$, but this did not meet the Bonferroni correction threshold of less than .0125 (**Figure 5**).

**TEST-RETEST RELIABILITY**

Each toddler’s preference for a particular movie type was relatively stable. The mean change in percentage of preference within our sample was 15.62% (range, 1%-36%; SD, 9.2). As revealed by the range, 1 subject changed his preference by 36%. This subject had an ASD and changed his preference from social responder on test 1 to geometric responder on test 2. A paired-sample $t$ test revealed no significant difference between percentage of fixation on DGI between test 1 and test 2 ($t_{40}=1.7; P>.05$).
Using a simple preferential looking paradigm, toddlers who were at risk for or had a confirmed ASD diagnosis spent a greater amount of time visually examining dynamic geometric images (DGI) in contrast to dynamic social images (DSI). This pattern was not found in TD controls or DD contrast groups. When the percentage of time a toddler spent fixating on geometric patterns was 69% or greater, the positive predictive validity for accurately classifying that toddler as having an ASD was 100%. Furthermore, a preference for DGI may be a risk factor for autism in that this preference was observed in a toddler at risk for an ASD as young as 14 months. This phenomenon, however, was not ubiquitous across the entire ASD sample. While a considerable portion of the ASD sample, namely 40%, were geometric responders, in that they preferred to visually examine DGI, the remaining 60% of participants with an ASD performed similar to the TD and DD contrast groups in that they preferred DSI. A preference for geometric patterns was not associated with general cognitive delay in that there was no relationship between IQ and fixation time within the ASD group. Additionally, with 2 exceptions, none of the toddlers with DD showed a preference for DGI. This is particularly compelling given that several of the toddlers with DD had IQ scores less than 65. Given that there was also no relationship with the social affect or overall algorithm scores on the ADOS-T, it was thus not the case that toddlers with an ASD who preferred DGI had more severe symptoms in general. Instead, the findings illustrate a definable subgroup of toddlers with an ASD who may be linked to perceptual variables not examined in this study, such as superior visual acuity, weak central coherence, or enhanced perceptual processing in...
Alternatively, this subgroup of toddlers may be a particularly strong example of those who do not prefer biological motion, as has been recently demonstrated. While a preference for geometric patterns alone may be an intriguing novel identifier of early autism, results also illustrated a distinct pattern of saccades within the geometric responders. Based on research documenting deficits in shifting and disengaging attention in autism, we initially predicted that toddlers with an ASD overall would show a reduced number of saccades. Results revealed that it was only the geometric responders, not the group as a whole, who displayed a reduced number of saccades. Furthermore, this reduction in saccades was evident only when geometric responders with an ASD were viewing their preferred geometric patterns. In contrast, when geometric responders with an ASD viewed their nonpreferred stimuli, namely DSI, they exhibited a significantly greater number of saccades in comparison with other diagnostic groups. A recent eye tracking study suggested that an increased number of saccades to DSI may be the result of anxiety in individuals with autism. Therefore, for this particular subgroup, the profile appears to be increased saccades during the viewing of nonpreferred stimuli and decreased saccades while viewing geometric images.

Figure 4. Line graph depicting the time course of percentage of fixation on dynamic geometric images (DGI) across the 1-minute movie divided into 3 periods for geometric responders with an autism spectrum disorder (ASD), social responders with an ASD, typically developing (TD) toddlers, and toddlers with developmental delay (DD). Period 1 represents the mean percentage of fixation from 0 to 19.99 seconds, period 2 represents the mean percentage of fixation from 20 to 39.99 seconds, and period 3 represents the mean percentage of fixation from 40 to 60 seconds. Percentage of fixation on DGI was significantly different between periods 1 and 2. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.

Figure 5. Bar graphs illustrating the mean number of saccades during the viewing of dynamic social images (DSI) (left) or dynamic geometric images (DGI) (right). The toddlers with an autism spectrum disorder (ASD) were grouped according to movie preference (ie, geometric or social responder). When viewing social images, geometric responders with an ASD had significantly more saccades than all other groups. When viewing geometric images, geometric responders with an ASD had significantly fewer saccades. *P ≤ .01; †P ≤ .001; ‡P ≤ .02. DD indicates developmental delay; TD, typically developing.
viewing preferred stimuli. Thus, the combination of a preference for geometry combined with saccade quantity might be a particularly strong early identifier of autism.

Importantly, each toddler’s preference, be it DGI or DSI, was relatively stable across time. Additionally, there were no age effects in that there was no relationship between the quantity of looking time at DGI or DSI and age, suggesting that the current paradigm is suitable for use across at least the first 3 or 4 years of development.

Surprisingly, more than half of the toddlers with an ASD in the study behaved just like those who were TD or DD: they preferred DSI. The nature of the stimuli used may have contributed to this finding. In the past, we demonstrated that using highly compelling social images, such as images of mothers’ or children’s faces, resulted in much more normal brain activity in ASD than the use of less compelling stimuli, such as the faces of strangers. In the same way, the present study used attention-grabbing social stimuli that consisted of young children dancing and doing yoga. We can only speculate that the brain systems that are normally active in response to rich social images, such as the fusiform gyrus, cingulate, medial frontal lobes, and amygdala, were likely more engaged in the social responders group than in the geometric responders group. If this is true, then reanalyses of past functional magnetic resonance imaging studies with older children or adults with autism may be able to reveal distinct subgroups: those with an ASD with more “typical” social brain activity and those with less, reflecting a lifetime of differences in social preference and attention. Likewise, it may be that the neural profile of geometric responders when looking at geometric images may be stronger than social responders in brain regions classically involved in basic visual perception and attention, such as the extrastriate visual cortex and parietal lobes. While functional magnetic resonance imaging is currently not feasible with awake toddlers, other imaging modalities, such as electroencephalography and near infrared spectroscopy, hold promise for future studies aimed at revealing possible unique neural signatures between these 2 groups.

It is undeniable that eye movements guide learning. What an infant chooses to look at provides images and experiences from which to learn and mature. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to empirically demonstrate that this preference in a subgroup of toddlers with an ASD may begin as early as 14 months, and quite possibly even earlier. The impact of reduced social attention in favor of attention to geometry at such an early age in development can only be surmised, but it is thus no surprise that functional magnetic resonance imaging studies of older children and adults with autism often report weak or absent functional activity in brain regions involved in social processing, such as the fusiform, medial frontal lobes, amygdala, and cingulate.

While the discovery of a putative new early warning sign of autism is encouraging, results should be interpreted with some caution for 2 reasons. First, approximately 20% of the overall sample was dropped from analyses because of poor compliance during testing, with the impact of such exclusion unknown. Second, participants viewed a movie that was only 1 minute. While 1 minute has been previously demonstrated to be the average attention span of a 1-year-old, thus suggesting that 1 minute is optimal, examining a preference for geometric patterns would be even more compelling if established across multiple testing sessions. In the present study, only one-third of the overall sample participated in test-retest reliability.

Overall, however, the present study provides strong evidence that some infants at risk for an ASD begin life with an unusual preference for geometric repetition. We believe that it may be easy to capture this preference using relatively inexpensive techniques in mainstream clinical settings such as a pediatrician’s office. Furthermore, we also believe that infants identified as exhibiting preferences for geometric repetition are excellent candidates for further developmental evaluation and possible early treatment. Mechanisms of developmental plasticity provide clear rationale that an enriched environment, such as one afforded by careful early treatment, can significantly improve brain structure and function. The discovery of an early preference for geometric repetition moves beyond the more commonly studied social defects and opens up a new line of inquiry into the early emerging developmental abnormalities in autism.
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