

Appendix to Accompany “The Moral Foundations of
Individual-Level Foreign Policy Preferences”


David Lindsey and David Lake

January 12, 2014

1 Addressing Selection Bias: Figure A.1

In order to determine whether or not selection biases the findings in our survey, we compare the correlations among the responses to a number of our questions taken from the Chicago Council on Global Affairs survey in our survey and the responses in that survey (which was conducted with a nationally representative sample). There are sixteen questions in common to both of our surveys, yielding 120 unique correlation coefficients. In Figure 1, we plot these coefficients against each other; that is, for each pair of variables, the X-axis displays the correlation between the variables in the Chicago Council Data and the Y-axis displays the correlation between the variables in our data. If our data resemble a national sample, then the points on this plot should cluster along the 45 degree line, which we have plotted. As the figure shows, the points do follow this pattern, suggesting that self selection into the Mechanical Turk sample has not biased our results.

Figure A. 1: Plot of Correlation Coefficients



2 The Initial Survey

Table A.1 displays the demographic characteristics of participants in our initial survey.

Table A. 1: Demographics of Initial Survey Respondents

Characteristic	Mean	Valid Responses
Age	34.41	1192
Proportion Male	0.43	1192
Political Ideology (Very Liberal = 1 to Very Conservative=7)	3.27	1164
Political Interest (Not very interested =1 to Very interested=3)	2.13	1193
Education (Some High School=1 to Graduate Degree=6)	3.61	1193
Partisanship (Strong Democrat =1 to Strong Republican=5)	2.57	1112
Income (<25,001 = 1 to >100,000 = 5)	2.39	1151
Religious Attendance (Never = 1 to Weekly = 5)	2.23	1193
Tradable Sector	0.07	1192

[h]

Table A. 2: Questions in the Moral Foundations Questionnaire

When you decide whether something is right or wrong, to what extent are the following considerations relevant to your thinking?

Care/harm questions

1. Whether or not someone suffered emotionally
2. Whether or not someone cared for someone weak or vulnerable
3. Whether or not someone was cruel

Fairness/cheating questions

1. Whether or not some people were treated differently than others
2. Whether or not someone acted unfairly
3. Whether or not someone was denied his or her rights

Loyalty/betrayal questions

1. Whether or not someone's action showed love for his or her country
2. Whether or not someone did something to betray his or her group
3. Whether or not someone showed a lack of loyalty

Authority/submission questions

1. Whether or not someone showed a lack of respect for authority
2. Whether or not someone conformed to the traditions of society
3. Whether or not an action caused chaos or disorder

Sanctity/degradation questions

1. Whether or not someone violated standards of purity and decency
 2. Whether or not someone did something disgusting
 3. Whether or not someone acted in a way that God would approve of
-

Please read the following sentences and indicate your agreement or disagreement:

Care/harm questions

1. Compassion for those who are suffering is the most crucial virtue.
2. One of the worst things a person could do is hurt a defenseless animal.
3. It can never be right to kill a human being.

Fairness/cheating questions

1. When the government makes laws, the number one principle should be ensuring that everyone is treated fairly.
2. Justice is the most important requirement for a society.
3. I think it's morally wrong that rich children inherit a lot of money while poor children inherit nothing.

Loyalty/betrayal questions

1. I am proud of my country's history.
2. People should be loyal to their family members, even when they have done something wrong.
3. It is more important to be a team player than to express oneself.

Authority/submission questions

1. Respect for authority is something all children need to learn.
2. Men and women each have different roles to play in society.
3. If I were a soldier and disagreed with my commanding officer's orders, I would obey anyway.

Sanctity/degradation questions

1. People should not do things that are disgusting, even if no one is harmed.
 2. I would call some acts wrong on the grounds that they are unnatural.
 3. Chastity is an important and valuable virtue.
-

3 Full Ordered Probit Results for All Initial Survey Questions

Table A. 3: Protecting Weaker Nations Against Foreign Aggression

The statements below present possible goals for US foreign policy. Please indicate whether you think each goal should be very important, somewhat important, or not important to making US policy: Protecting weaker nations against foreign aggression.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.20 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.19 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.25 (0.06) ^{***}
Fairness	-0.15 (0.06) [*]	-0.11 (0.06) ⁺	-0.06 (0.07)
Ingroup	-0.14 (0.05) [*]	-0.12 (0.06) [*]	-0.10 (0.06)
Authority	0.09 (0.06)	0.07 (0.06)	0.05 (0.07)
Purity	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.07 (0.04)	-0.09 (0.05) ⁺
Ideology		0.05 (0.03) ⁺	0.08 (0.04) [*]
Gender			0.21 (0.08) ^{**}
Age			0.00 (0.00)
Party ID			-0.05 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.19 (0.06) ^{**}
Education			-0.07 (0.03) [*]
Income			0.03 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.01 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.02 (0.14)
	Cut Points		
Very Important/Somewhat Important	-2.30 (0.22)	-2.07 (0.25)	-2.47 (0.34)
Somewhat Important/Not Important	-0.58 (0.21)	-0.34 (0.24)	-0.75 (0.33)
Num. Obs.	1125	1098	1007
Log Likelihood	-1046.28	-1015.63	-930.30
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1078.28	-1044.02	-959.24
Likelihood Ratio	64.02 ^{***}	56.78 ^{***}	57.88 ^{***}

^{***} $p < 0.001$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Very Important–217; Somewhat Important–678; Not Important–253.

Table A. 4: Promoting Democracy

The statements below present possible goals for US foreign policy. Please indicate whether you think each goal should be very important, somewhat important, or not important to making US policy: Bringing a democratic form of government to other nations.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.05 (0.06)	-0.04 (0.06)	-0.03 (0.06)
Fairness	-0.11 (0.06) ⁺	-0.09 (0.06)	-0.11 (0.07)
Ingroup	-0.31 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.30 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.29 (0.06) ^{***}
Authority	0.03 (0.06)	0.03 (0.06)	0.02 (0.07)
Purity	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.04)	-0.07 (0.05)
Ideology		0.01 (0.03)	-0.04 (0.04)
Gender			0.01 (0.08)
Age			0.00 (0.00)
Party ID			0.12 (0.05) [*]
Political Interest			-0.12 (0.06) ⁺
Education			-0.07 (0.03) [*]
Income			-0.02 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.01 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.08 (0.14)
	Cut Points		
Very Important/Somewhat Important	-2.59 (0.23)	-2.49 (0.26)	-2.84 (0.35)
Somewhat Important/Not Important	-1.24 (0.22)	-1.14 (0.25)	-1.44 (0.34)
Num. Obs.	1108	1084	994
Log Likelihood	-1019.04	-1001.00	-910.96
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1058.90	-1037.20	-942.03
Likelihood Ratio	79.71 ^{***}	72.39 ^{***}	62.14 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Very Important–121; Somewhat Important–465; Not Important–546.

Table A. 5: Protecting Human Rights

The statements below present possible goals for US foreign policy. Please indicate whether you think each goal should be very important, somewhat important, or not important to making US policy: Promoting and defending human rights in other countries.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.38 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.35 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.36 (0.06) ^{***}
Fairness	-0.20 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.16 (0.06) [*]	-0.18 (0.07) ^{**}
Ingroup	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.05 (0.06)
Authority	0.19 (0.06) ^{**}	0.15 (0.06) [*]	0.16 (0.07) [*]
Purity	0.01 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.05)
Ideology		0.10 (0.03) ^{***}	0.08 (0.04) [*]
Gender			0.13 (0.08)
Age			0.01 (0.00) ^{**}
Party ID			0.04 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.17 (0.06) ^{**}
Education			-0.06 (0.03) ⁺
Income			-0.03 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.07 (0.03) [*]
Tradable Sector			0.09 (0.14)
	Cut Points		
Very Important/Somewhat Important	-1.94 (0.21)	-1.55 (0.24)	-1.89 (0.34)
Somewhat Important/Not Important	-0.40 (0.21)	0.01 (0.24)	-0.29 (0.33)
Num. Obs.	1147	1122	1029
Log Likelihood	-1049.81	-1017.88	-916.43
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1125.97	-1067.24	-963.03
Likelihood Ratio	152.33 ^{***}	98.71 ^{***}	93.21 ^{***}

^{***} $p < 0.001$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Very Important–458; Somewhat Important–564; Not Important–149.

Table A. 6: Maintaining Superior US Military Power

The statements below present possible goals for US foreign policy. Please indicate whether you think each goal should be very important, somewhat important, or not important to making US policy: Maintaining superior military power worldwide.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.36 (0.06) ^{***}	0.32 (0.06) ^{***}	0.36 (0.06) ^{***}
Fairness	0.09 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)	0.07 (0.07)
Ingroup	-0.34 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.32 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.32 (0.06) ^{***}
Authority	-0.27 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.22 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.23 (0.07) ^{***}
Purity	-0.18 (0.04) ^{***}	-0.12 (0.04) ^{**}	-0.12 (0.05) [*]
Ideology		-0.11 (0.03) ^{***}	-0.06 (0.04) ⁺
Gender			-0.03 (0.08)
Age			-0.02 (0.00) ^{***}
Party ID			-0.09 (0.05) ⁺
Political Interest			-0.16 (0.06) ^{**}
Education			0.04 (0.04)
Income			-0.06 (0.03) ⁺
Religiosity			-0.01 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			0.10 (0.14)
	Cut Points		
Very Important/Somewhat Important	-1.13 (0.21)	-1.51 (0.25)	-2.38 (0.34)
Somewhat Important/Not Important	0.23 (0.21)	-0.12 (0.24)	-0.91 (0.34)
Num. Obs.	1140	1113	1019
Log Likelihood	-1048.89	-1016.18	-902.13
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1229.01	-1106.57	-979.78
Likelihood Ratio	360.24 ^{***}	180.77 ^{***}	155.31 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Very Important–300; Somewhat Important–489; Not Important–376.

Table A. 7: Strengthening the UN

The statements below present possible goals for US foreign policy. Please indicate whether you think each goal should be very important, somewhat important, or not important to making US policy: Strengthening the United Nations.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.19 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.11 (0.06) ⁺	-0.08 (0.06)
Fairness	-0.35 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.25 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.30 (0.07) ^{***}
Ingroup	-0.09 (0.05) ⁺	-0.11 (0.06) [*]	-0.10 (0.06) ⁺
Authority	-0.08 (0.06)	-0.12 (0.06) ⁺	-0.14 (0.07) [*]
Purity	0.12 (0.04) ^{**}	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.05)
Ideology		0.21 (0.03) ^{***}	0.12 (0.04) ^{**}
Gender			-0.15 (0.08) [*]
Age			0.02 (0.00) ^{***}
Party ID			0.17 (0.05) ^{***}
Political Interest			0.18 (0.06) ^{**}
Education			-0.01 (0.03)
Income			0.02 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.03 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			0.02 (0.14)
	Cut Points		
Very Important/Somewhat Important	-2.58 (0.22)	-1.67 (0.25)	-0.87 (0.34)
Somewhat Important/Not Important	-1.22 (0.21)	-0.26 (0.24)	0.58 (0.33)
Num. Obs.	1114	1090	1003
Log Likelihood	-1106.28	-1051.48	-941.54
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1166.80	-1093.35	-980.71
Likelihood Ratio	121.04 ^{***}	83.73 ^{***}	78.34 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Very Important–368; Somewhat Important–533; Not Important–238.

Table A. 8: Stopping the Flow of Illegal Drugs into US

The statements below present possible goals for US foreign policy. Please indicate whether you think each goal should be very important, somewhat important, or not important to making US policy: Stopping the flow of illegal drugs into the United States

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.05 (0.05)	-0.07 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)
Fairness	0.01 (0.06)	-0.01 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.07)
Ingroup	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.05 (0.06)	-0.11 (0.06) ⁺
Authority	-0.29 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.28 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.19 (0.07) ^{**}
Purity	-0.31 (0.04) ^{***}	-0.29 (0.04) ^{***}	-0.30 (0.05) ^{***}
Ideology		-0.03 (0.03)	0.03 (0.04)
Gender			-0.47 (0.08) ^{***}
Age			-0.02 (0.00) ^{***}
Party ID			-0.11 (0.05) [*]
Political Interest			0.09 (0.06)
Education			0.05 (0.04)
Income			-0.05 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.03 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			0.35 (0.14) [*]
	Cut Points		
Very Important/Somewhat Important	-1.99 (0.22)	-2.09 (0.25)	-3.01 (0.36)
Somewhat Important/Not Important	-0.99 (0.22)	-1.08 (0.25)	-1.93 (0.35)
Num. Obs.	1149	1122	1028
Log Likelihood	-1087.12	-1065.65	-932.49
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1238.49	-1167.35	-1000.12
Likelihood Ratio	302.74 ^{***}	203.40 ^{***}	135.26 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Very Important–497; Somewhat Important–376; Not Important–302.

Table A. 9: Developed Countries Have Moral Obligation to Reduce Hunger

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: Developed countries have a moral responsibility to work to reduce hunger and severe poverty in poor countries.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.42 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.37 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.37 (0.06) ^{***}
Fairness	-0.23 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.21 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.22 (0.06) ^{***}
Ingroup	0.01 (0.05)	-0.00 (0.05)	0.02 (0.06)
Authority	0.14 (0.06) [*]	0.12 (0.06) [*]	0.10 (0.06)
Purity	0.01 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)
Ideology		0.10 (0.02) ^{***}	0.13 (0.03) ^{***}
Gender			0.13 (0.07) ⁺
Age			-0.00 (0.00)
Party ID			-0.00 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.17 (0.05) ^{**}
Education			-0.06 (0.03) ⁺
Income			-0.02 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.08 (0.03) ^{**}
Tradable Sector			0.12 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.63 (0.20)	-2.25 (0.23)	-2.80 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-1.49 (0.20)	-1.10 (0.22)	-1.62 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.84 (0.19)	-0.43 (0.22)	-0.94 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	-0.21 (0.20)	0.21 (0.23)	-0.28 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1152	1125	1028
Log Likelihood	-1564.68	-1515.27	-1364.92
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1664.17	-1585.05	-1424.49
Likelihood Ratio	199.00 ^{***}	139.56 ^{***}	119.14 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–293; Agree Somewhat–457; Neither Agree nor Disagree–228; Disagree Somewhat–127; Disagree Strongly–73.

Table A. 10: US Should Make More Decisions within the UN

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: When dealing with international problems, the U.S. should be more willing to make decisions within the United Nations even if this means that the United States will sometimes have to go along with a policy that is not its first choice.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.17 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.09 (0.05) ⁺	-0.11 (0.06) ⁺
Fairness	-0.40 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.29 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.30 (0.06) ^{***}
Ingroup	0.03 (0.05)	0.01 (0.05)	0.03 (0.06)
Authority	-0.04 (0.06)	-0.09 (0.06)	-0.10 (0.06)
Purity	0.26 (0.04) ^{***}	0.14 (0.04) ^{***}	0.13 (0.04) ^{**}
Ideology		0.24 (0.03) ^{***}	0.22 (0.03) ^{***}
Gender			0.03 (0.07)
Age			0.01 (0.00) ^{***}
Party ID			0.03 (0.05)
Political Interest			0.08 (0.06)
Education			-0.05 (0.03)
Income			0.00 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.01 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.18 (0.13)
	Cut Points		
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.45 (0.20)	-1.48 (0.23)	-1.06 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-1.27 (0.20)	-0.25 (0.23)	0.21 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.60 (0.20)	0.45 (0.23)	0.91 (0.32)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	-0.02 (0.20)	1.09 (0.23)	1.57 (0.32)
Num. Obs.	1119	1096	1005
Log Likelihood	-1567.35	-1487.17	-1343.43
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1670.47	-1513.90	-1369.81
Likelihood Ratio	206.25 ^{***}	53.45 ^{***}	52.74 ^{***}

^{***} $p < 0.001$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–217; Agree Somewhat–434; Neither Agree nor Disagree–245; Disagree Somewhat–140; Disagree Strongly–107.

Table A. 11: Econ Power More Important than Military Power

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: Economic power is more important in world affairs than military power.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.09 (0.05) ⁺	-0.08 (0.05)	-0.15 (0.06)**
Fairness	-0.22 (0.05)***	-0.15 (0.06)**	-0.11 (0.06) ⁺
Ingroup	0.01 (0.05)	-0.00 (0.05)	0.02 (0.06)
Authority	0.11 (0.06) ⁺	0.11 (0.06) ⁺	0.07 (0.06)
Purity	0.13 (0.04)***	0.08 (0.04)*	0.06 (0.04)
Ideology		0.08 (0.02)***	0.04 (0.03)
Gender			0.21 (0.07)**
Age			0.01 (0.00) ⁺
Party ID			0.08 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.10 (0.05) ⁺
Education			-0.01 (0.03)
Income			-0.01 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.06 (0.03)*
Tradable Sector			0.04 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-1.29 (0.19)	-0.88 (0.22)	-0.67 (0.31)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-0.16 (0.19)	0.26 (0.22)	0.50 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	0.72 (0.19)	1.15 (0.22)	1.41 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	1.46 (0.20)	1.90 (0.23)	2.11 (0.32)
Num. Obs.	1134	1107	1012
Log Likelihood	-1520.13	-1473.50	-1337.01
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1568.85	-1489.70	-1349.45
Likelihood Ratio	97.45***	32.41***	24.89***

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–252; Agree Somewhat–461; Neither Agree nor Disagree–296; Disagree Somewhat–110; Disagree Strongly–39.

Table A. 12: War Sometimes Necessary to Obtain Justice

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: Under some conditions, war is necessary to obtain justice.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.41 (0.05) ^{***}	0.38 (0.05) ^{***}	0.36 (0.06) ^{***}
Fairness	-0.09 (0.06) ⁺	-0.15 (0.06) ^{**}	-0.15 (0.06) [*]
Ingroup	-0.23 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.21 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.17 (0.06) ^{**}
Authority	-0.19 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.16 (0.06) ^{**}	-0.20 (0.06) ^{**}
Purity	-0.06 (0.04) ⁺	0.00 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.04)
Ideology		-0.11 (0.03) ^{***}	-0.10 (0.03) ^{**}
Gender			0.16 (0.07) [*]
Age			-0.01 (0.00) ⁺
Party ID			-0.04 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.23 (0.06) ^{***}
Education			0.03 (0.03)
Income			-0.04 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.02 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			0.22 (0.13) ⁺
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-1.15 (0.20)	-1.59 (0.23)	-2.17 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	0.25 (0.20)	-0.17 (0.23)	-0.71 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	0.88 (0.20)	0.47 (0.23)	-0.05 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	1.53 (0.20)	1.14 (0.23)	0.64 (0.32)
Num. Obs.	1146	1120	1024
Log Likelihood	-1526.82	-1476.43	-1322.15
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1621.30	-1527.03	-1364.31
Likelihood Ratio	188.97 ^{***}	101.20 ^{***}	84.31 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–196; Agree Somewhat–524; Neither Agree nor Disagree–228; Disagree Somewhat–141; Disagree Strongly–83.

Table A. 13: War sometimes Necessary to Maintain Order in World

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: It is sometimes necessary to use military force to maintain order in the world.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.39 (0.05)***	0.36 (0.05)***	0.35 (0.06)***
Fairness	-0.05 (0.06)	-0.08 (0.06)	-0.05 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.24 (0.05)***	-0.22 (0.05)***	-0.21 (0.06)***
Authority	-0.24 (0.06)***	-0.22 (0.06)***	-0.23 (0.06)***
Purity	-0.09 (0.04)*	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.08 (0.04) ⁺
Ideology		-0.07 (0.03)**	-0.07 (0.03)*
Gender			0.14 (0.07) ⁺
Age			-0.01 (0.00) ⁺
Party ID			0.00 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.17 (0.06)**
Education			0.06 (0.03)*
Income			-0.03 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.02 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			0.19 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-1.38 (0.20)	-1.62 (0.23)	-1.71 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	0.13 (0.20)	-0.12 (0.23)	-0.18 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	0.75 (0.20)	0.51 (0.23)	0.43 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	1.35 (0.20)	1.13 (0.23)	1.07 (0.32)
Num. Obs.	1152	1125	1029
Log Likelihood	-1492.62	-1455.67	-1315.52
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1615.95	-1524.97	-1375.19
Likelihood Ratio	246.67***	138.61***	119.33***

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–186; Agree Somewhat–551; Neither Agree nor Disagree–218; Disagree Somewhat–130; Disagree Strongly–93.

Table A. 14: US Should Provide Food and Medicine to Needy

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: The United States should provide food and medical assistance to people in needy countries.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.36 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.30 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.33 (0.06) ^{***}
Fairness	-0.18 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.15 (0.06) ^{**}	-0.17 (0.06) ^{**}
Ingroup	-0.00 (0.05)	-0.00 (0.05)	0.02 (0.05)
Authority	0.18 (0.06) ^{**}	0.16 (0.06) ^{**}	0.15 (0.06) [*]
Purity	-0.02 (0.04)	-0.08 (0.04) [*]	-0.08 (0.04) ⁺
Ideology		0.10 (0.02) ^{***}	0.15 (0.03) ^{***}
Gender			0.30 (0.07) ^{***}
Age			0.01 (0.00) ⁺
Party ID			-0.05 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.12 (0.05) [*]
Education			-0.08 (0.03) [*]
Income			-0.03 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.08 (0.03) ^{**}
Tradable Sector			0.10 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.31 (0.20)	-1.90 (0.23)	-2.20 (0.31)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-1.14 (0.19)	-0.70 (0.22)	-0.96 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.56 (0.19)	-0.11 (0.22)	-0.37 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	0.13 (0.19)	0.59 (0.23)	0.35 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1156	1128	1033
Log Likelihood	-1620.26	-1566.27	-1406.61
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1694.82	-1614.89	-1455.94
Likelihood Ratio	149.12 ^{***}	97.24 ^{***}	98.67 ^{***}

^{***} $p < 0.001$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–244; Agree Somewhat–470; Neither Agree nor Disagree–219; Disagree Somewhat–165; Disagree Strongly–84.

Table A. 15: US Should Provide Development Aid

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: The United States should provide aid that helps needy countries develop their economies.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.33 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.28 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.28 (0.05) ^{***}
Fairness	-0.23 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.20 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.22 (0.06) ^{***}
Ingroup	-0.03 (0.05)	-0.02 (0.05)	0.01 (0.05)
Authority	0.15 (0.06) ^{**}	0.12 (0.06) [*]	0.12 (0.06) ⁺
Purity	0.01 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.04)
Ideology		0.09 (0.02) ^{***}	0.08 (0.03) [*]
Gender			0.15 (0.07) [*]
Age			0.01 (0.00) [*]
Party ID			0.05 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.14 (0.05) [*]
Education			-0.06 (0.03) [*]
Income			-0.04 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.06 (0.03) [*]
Tradable Sector			0.05 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.67 (0.20)	-2.32 (0.23)	-2.56 (0.31)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-1.48 (0.19)	-1.10 (0.22)	-1.32 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.85 (0.19)	-0.46 (0.22)	-0.69 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	-0.13 (0.19)	0.29 (0.22)	0.08 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1153	1125	1030
Log Likelihood	-1652.00	-1595.64	-1446.26
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1726.26	-1644.40	-1491.94
Likelihood Ratio	148.52 ^{***}	97.53 ^{***}	91.36 ^{***}

^{***} $p < 0.001$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–181; Agree Somewhat–447; Neither Agree nor Disagree–254; Disagree Somewhat–195; Disagree Strongly–102.

Table A. 16: US Should Provide Aid to Increase its Influence

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: The United States should provide aid to increase U.S. influence over countries that are important to U.S interests.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.06 (0.05)	0.07 (0.05)	0.04 (0.05)
Fairness	-0.10 (0.05) ⁺	-0.07 (0.06)	-0.09 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.28 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.29 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.25 (0.05) ^{***}
Authority	-0.13 (0.06) [*]	-0.10 (0.06) ⁺	-0.15 (0.06) [*]
Purity	0.00 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.04)
Ideology		0.03 (0.02)	0.05 (0.03)
Gender			0.24 (0.07) ^{***}
Age			0.01 (0.00) [*]
Party ID			-0.05 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.09 (0.05)
Education			-0.00 (0.03)
Income			-0.03 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.01 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.01 (0.13)
	Cut Points		
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.95 (0.21)	-2.70 (0.23)	-2.70 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-1.60 (0.19)	-1.34 (0.22)	-1.31 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.77 (0.19)	-0.51 (0.22)	-0.47 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	0.01 (0.19)	0.29 (0.22)	0.34 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1147	1120	1026
Log Likelihood	-1621.69	-1583.23	-1435.38
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1678.08	-1631.54	-1481.80
Likelihood Ratio	112.79 ^{***}	96.63 ^{***}	92.85 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–50; Agree Somewhat–343; Neither Agree nor Disagree–357; Disagree Somewhat–263; Disagree Strongly–159.

Table A. 17: Growing Trade and Business Ties With Other Countries are Good
Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: Growing trade and business ties between the United States and other countries are a good thing for our country.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.01 (0.05)	0.02 (0.05)	-0.06 (0.06)
Fairness	-0.12 (0.06)*	-0.12 (0.06)*	-0.07 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.09 (0.05) ⁺	-0.10 (0.05) ⁺	-0.03 (0.06)
Authority	-0.08 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.14 (0.06)*
Purity	0.17 (0.04)***	0.15 (0.04)***	0.12 (0.05)*
Ideology		0.02 (0.03)	0.02 (0.03)
Gender			0.36 (0.07)***
Age			0.01 (0.00)***
Party ID			-0.00 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.26 (0.06)***
Education			-0.03 (0.03)
Income			-0.02 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.00 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.13 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-0.90 (0.20)	-0.82 (0.23)	-0.86 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	0.39 (0.20)	0.50 (0.23)	0.53 (0.32)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	1.00 (0.20)	1.11 (0.23)	1.17 (0.32)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	1.62 (0.21)	1.72 (0.24)	1.76 (0.33)
Num. Obs.	1144	1116	1022
Log Likelihood	-1388.74	-1346.08	-1192.29
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1402.93	-1355.66	-1199.33
Likelihood Ratio	28.38***	19.14**	14.07*

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–379; Agree Somewhat–548; Neither Agree nor Disagree–150; Disagree Somewhat–67; Disagree Strongly–26.

Table A. 18: US Should Limit Import of Foreign Products

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: The United States should limit the import of products from other countries.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.01 (0.05)	0.02 (0.05)	0.05 (0.06)
Fairness	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.03 (0.06)	-0.03 (0.06)
Ingroup	0.06 (0.05)	0.05 (0.05)	0.02 (0.05)
Authority	-0.15 (0.06)**	-0.16 (0.06)**	-0.12 (0.06)*
Purity	-0.16 (0.04)***	-0.18 (0.04)***	-0.16 (0.04)***
Ideology		0.04 (0.02)	0.10 (0.03)**
Gender			-0.20 (0.07)**
Age			-0.01 (0.00)***
Party ID			-0.12 (0.05)*
Political Interest			0.10 (0.05) ⁺
Education			0.09 (0.03)**
Income			0.06 (0.03)*
Religiosity			0.01 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.04 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.02 (0.20)	-1.85 (0.23)	-1.89 (0.31)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-1.01 (0.19)	-0.84 (0.22)	-0.87 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.44 (0.19)	-0.27 (0.22)	-0.30 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	0.39 (0.19)	0.57 (0.22)	0.58 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1136	1110	1016
Log Likelihood	-1724.03	-1680.77	-1516.99
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1762.11	-1714.09	-1535.67
Likelihood Ratio	76.16***	66.65***	37.36***

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–137; Agree Somewhat–337; Neither Agree nor Disagree–254; Disagree Somewhat–279; Disagree Strongly–154.

Table A. 19: US Should Limit Immigration By Low-skill Workers

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: The United States should limit legal immigration by low-skill workers.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.16 (0.05)**	0.14 (0.05)**	0.11 (0.06)*
Fairness	0.06 (0.05)	0.00 (0.06)	0.01 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.03 (0.05)	-0.04 (0.05)	-0.02 (0.06)
Authority	-0.22 (0.06)***	-0.16 (0.06)**	-0.18 (0.06)**
Purity	-0.09 (0.04)*	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.04)
Ideology		-0.10 (0.02)***	-0.10 (0.03)**
Gender			0.08 (0.07)
Age			-0.01 (0.00)***
Party ID			-0.06 (0.05)
Political Interest			0.14 (0.05)*
Education			-0.00 (0.03)
Income			0.02 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.10 (0.03)***
Tradable Sector			-0.07 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-1.27 (0.20)	-1.69 (0.23)	-1.70 (0.31)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-0.53 (0.19)	-0.93 (0.22)	-0.91 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	0.13 (0.19)	-0.27 (0.22)	-0.25 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	0.87 (0.19)	0.49 (0.22)	0.54 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1122	1097	1010
Log Likelihood	-1726.11	-1679.30	-1527.59
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1777.07	-1695.11	-1542.93
Likelihood Ratio	101.93***	31.63***	30.68***

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–144; Agree Somewhat–234; Neither Agree nor Disagree–281; Disagree Somewhat–275; Disagree Strongly–213.

Table A. 20: US Should Limit Immigration By High-skill Workers

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: The United States should limit legal immigration by high-skill workers.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.13 (0.05)**	0.12 (0.05)*	0.14 (0.06)*
Fairness	-0.04 (0.05)	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.04 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.03 (0.05)	-0.03 (0.05)	-0.05 (0.06)
Authority	-0.19 (0.06)***	-0.17 (0.06)**	-0.14 (0.06)*
Purity	-0.14 (0.04)***	-0.11 (0.04)**	-0.11 (0.04)*
Ideology		-0.05 (0.02) ⁺	-0.01 (0.03)
Gender			-0.12 (0.07)
Age			-0.01 (0.00)***
Party ID			-0.08 (0.05)
Political Interest			0.28 (0.06)***
Education			0.04 (0.03)
Income			-0.01 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.04 (0.03) ⁺
Tradable Sector			-0.03 (0.13)
	Cut Points		
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.05 (0.20)	-2.18 (0.23)	-1.95 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-1.30 (0.20)	-1.42 (0.23)	-1.16 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.63 (0.19)	-0.76 (0.22)	-0.48 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	0.12 (0.19)	-0.01 (0.22)	0.31 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1119	1095	1004
Log Likelihood	-1670.49	-1634.79	-1475.40
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1722.23	-1660.01	-1495.09
Likelihood Ratio	103.47***	50.44***	39.39***

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–91; Agree Somewhat–192; Neither Agree nor Disagree–265; Disagree Somewhat–304; Disagree Strongly–293.

[h]

Table A. 21: Our Culture Needs Protection Against Foreign Influence

NULL

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.20 (0.05)***	0.18 (0.05)***	0.18 (0.06)**
Fairness	0.14 (0.06)*	0.09 (0.06)	0.16 (0.06)**
Ingroup	-0.17 (0.05)***	-0.15 (0.05)**	-0.18 (0.06)**
Authority	-0.26 (0.06)***	-0.23 (0.06)***	-0.21 (0.06)**
Purity	-0.24 (0.04)***	-0.21 (0.04)***	-0.23 (0.05)***
Ideology		-0.09 (0.03)***	-0.10 (0.03)**
Gender			0.02 (0.07)
Age			-0.01 (0.00)***
Party ID			0.03 (0.05)
Political Interest			0.10 (0.06) ⁺
Education			0.10 (0.03)**
Income			0.02 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.06 (0.03)*
Tradable Sector			-0.22 (0.13) ⁺
	Cut Points		
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.16 (0.21)	-2.50 (0.24)	-1.90 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-1.23 (0.20)	-1.55 (0.23)	-0.94 (0.32)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.64 (0.20)	-0.95 (0.23)	-0.33 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	0.11 (0.20)	-0.19 (0.23)	0.47 (0.32)
Num. Obs.	1140	1112	1018
Log Likelihood	-1568.66	-1523.81	-1378.40
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1730.97	-1604.32	-1450.16
Likelihood Ratio	324.63***	161.02***	143.53***

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–86; Agree Somewhat–215; Neither Agree nor Disagree–218; Disagree Somewhat–280; Disagree Strongly–367.

Table A. 22: US Should Exert Strong Leadership in World

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements: The United States should exert strong leadership in world affairs.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.03 (0.05)	0.02 (0.05)	-0.00 (0.06)
Fairness	-0.01 (0.05)	-0.00 (0.06)	0.02 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.25 (0.05)***	-0.25 (0.05)***	-0.20 (0.05)***
Authority	-0.20 (0.06)***	-0.16 (0.06)**	-0.20 (0.06)***
Purity	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.09 (0.04)*
Ideology		-0.01 (0.02)	0.01 (0.03)
Gender			0.10 (0.07)
Age			-0.00 (0.00)
Party ID			-0.07 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.26 (0.05)***
Education			-0.09 (0.03)**
Income			-0.01 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.04 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			0.08 (0.13)
	Cut Points		
Agree Strongly/Agree Somewhat	-2.18 (0.20)	-2.15 (0.23)	-3.15 (0.32)
Agree Somewhat/Neither Agree nor Disagree	-0.95 (0.19)	-0.91 (0.22)	-1.87 (0.31)
Neither Agree nor Disagree/Disagree Somewhat	-0.21 (0.19)	-0.17 (0.22)	-1.12 (0.31)
Disagree Somewhat/Disagree Strongly	0.47 (0.19)	0.54 (0.22)	-0.41 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1151	1125	1029
Log Likelihood	-1593.89	-1553.35	-1395.83
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1668.74	-1606.72	-1447.11
Likelihood Ratio	149.71***	106.73***	102.56***

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Agree Strongly–195; Agree Somewhat–470; Neither Agree nor Disagree–280; Disagree Somewhat–150; Disagree Strongly–81.

Table A. 23: To Stop a Genocide

For each of the following hypothetical situations, please indicate whether you would favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops:

To stop a foreign government from committing genocide and killing large numbers of its own people

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.18 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.17 (0.05) ^{**}	-0.16 (0.06) ^{**}
Fairness	-0.20 (0.06) ^{***}	-0.17 (0.06) ^{**}	-0.20 (0.06) ^{**}
Ingroup	-0.07 (0.05)	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.04 (0.06)
Authority	0.03 (0.06)	0.03 (0.06)	0.02 (0.06)
Purity	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.05)
Ideology		0.04 (0.03)	0.02 (0.03)
Gender			0.01 (0.07)
Age			0.02 (0.00) ^{***}
Party ID			0.01 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.09 (0.06)
Education			-0.03 (0.03)
Income			-0.02 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.05 (0.03) ⁺
Tradable Sector			-0.09 (0.13)
	Cut Points		
Strongly Favor/Somewhat Favor	-1.71 (0.20)	-1.49 (0.23)	-1.41 (0.32)
Somewhat Favor/Neither Favor nor Oppose	-0.68 (0.20)	-0.44 (0.23)	-0.32 (0.32)
Neither Favor nor Oppose/Somewhat Oppose	-0.27 (0.20)	-0.03 (0.23)	0.08 (0.32)
Somewhat Oppose/Strongly Oppose	0.22 (0.20)	0.44 (0.23)	0.56 (0.32)
Num. Obs.	1148	1122	1030
Log Likelihood	-1494.74	-1452.84	-1296.15
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1527.64	-1479.53	-1322.29
Likelihood Ratio	65.81 ^{***}	53.37 ^{***}	52.27 ^{***}

^{***} $p < 0.001$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Strongly Favor–453; Somewhat Favor–442; Neither Favor nor Oppose–121; Somewhat Oppose–90; Strongly Oppose–66.

Table A. 24: To Ensure the Oil Supply

For each of the following hypothetical situations, please indicate whether you would favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops: To ensure the oil supply

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.18 (0.05)***	0.16 (0.05)**	0.17 (0.06)**
Fairness	0.11 (0.06)*	0.10 (0.06) ⁺	0.09 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.30 (0.05)***	-0.30 (0.05)***	-0.29 (0.06)***
Authority	-0.27 (0.06)***	-0.25 (0.06)***	-0.26 (0.06)***
Purity	-0.10 (0.04)**	-0.07 (0.04) ⁺	-0.10 (0.04)*
Ideology		-0.05 (0.02)*	-0.03 (0.03)
Gender			0.08 (0.07)
Age			-0.00 (0.00)
Party ID			-0.04 (0.05)
Political Interest			0.05 (0.05)
Education			-0.03 (0.03)
Income			-0.00 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.00 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.13 (0.13)
	Cut Points		
Strongly Favor/Somewhat Favor	-2.16 (0.20)	-2.34 (0.23)	-2.29 (0.32)
Somewhat Favor/Neither Favor nor Oppose	-1.20 (0.20)	-1.38 (0.23)	-1.34 (0.31)
Neither Favor nor Oppose/Somewhat Oppose	-0.59 (0.20)	-0.77 (0.23)	-0.72 (0.31)
Somewhat Oppose/Strongly Oppose	0.03 (0.20)	-0.15 (0.22)	-0.09 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1139	1114	1024
Log Likelihood	-1630.01	-1592.61	-1460.71
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1769.88	-1676.17	-1535.51
Likelihood Ratio	279.73***	167.13***	149.59***

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Strongly Favor–106; Somewhat Favor–258; Neither Favor nor Oppose–237; Somewhat Oppose–232; Strongly Oppose–331.

Table A. 25: To Deal with Humanitarian Crises

For each of the following hypothetical situations, please indicate whether you would favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops: To deal with humanitarian crises

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	-0.29 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.28 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.25 (0.06) ^{***}
Fairness	-0.16 (0.06) ^{**}	-0.09 (0.06)	-0.10 (0.06) ⁺
Ingroup	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.05 (0.06)
Authority	-0.02 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.04 (0.06)
Purity	0.05 (0.04)	0.01 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.04)
Ideology		0.10 (0.03) ^{***}	0.11 (0.03) ^{**}
Gender			-0.01 (0.07)
Age			0.00 (0.00)
Party ID			-0.02 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.00 (0.06)
Education			-0.05 (0.03) ⁺
Income			-0.04 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.00 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.02 (0.13)
	Cut Points		
Strongly Favor/Somewhat Favor	-2.33 (0.20)	-1.89 (0.23)	-2.05 (0.31)
Somewhat Favor/Neither Favor nor Oppose	-1.06 (0.20)	-0.61 (0.22)	-0.74 (0.31)
Neither Favor nor Oppose/Somewhat Oppose	-0.53 (0.20)	-0.07 (0.23)	-0.21 (0.31)
Somewhat Oppose/Strongly Oppose	-0.03 (0.20)	0.44 (0.23)	0.29 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1148	1122	1029
Log Likelihood	-1498.40	-1451.29	-1322.78
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1548.15	-1489.32	-1352.56
Likelihood Ratio	99.50 ^{***}	76.06 ^{***}	59.56 ^{***}

^{***} $p < 0.001$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{*} $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Strongly Favor–317; Somewhat Favor–529; Neither Favor nor Oppose–170; Somewhat Oppose–92; Strongly Oppose–65.

Table A. 26: To Prevent Islamist Takeover of Pakistan

For each of the following hypothetical situations, please indicate whether you would favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops: To prevent Islamic extremists from taking over Pakistan

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.09 (0.05) ⁺	0.07 (0.05)	0.04 (0.06)
Fairness	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.05 (0.06)	-0.03 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.18 (0.05) ^{***}	-0.17 (0.05) ^{**}	-0.14 (0.05) [*]
Authority	-0.07 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.05 (0.06)
Purity	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.08 (0.04) ⁺
Ideology		-0.03 (0.02)	-0.06 (0.03) ⁺
Gender			0.22 (0.07) ^{**}
Age			0.01 (0.00) ^{***}
Party ID			0.08 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.05 (0.05)
Education			-0.06 (0.03) ⁺
Income			-0.03 (0.03)
Religiosity			-0.03 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			0.02 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Strongly Favor/Somewhat Favor	-2.05 (0.20)	-2.07 (0.23)	-1.70 (0.31)
Somewhat Favor/Neither Favor nor Oppose	-1.06 (0.19)	-1.08 (0.22)	-0.71 (0.30)
Neither Favor nor Oppose/Somewhat Oppose	-0.37 (0.19)	-0.39 (0.22)	-0.00 (0.30)
Somewhat Oppose/Strongly Oppose	0.25 (0.19)	0.23 (0.22)	0.64 (0.30)
Num. Obs.	1125	1099	1011
Log Likelihood	-1714.47	-1675.46	-1525.62
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1744.36	-1693.39	-1541.14
Likelihood Ratio	59.79 ^{***}	35.85 ^{***}	31.03 ^{***}

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Strongly Favor–98; Somewhat Favor–282; Neither Favor nor Oppose–301; Somewhat Oppose–235; Strongly Oppose–234.

Table A. 27: In Response to North Korean Invasion of SK

For each of the following hypothetical situations, please indicate whether you would favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops: If North Korea invaded South Korea.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.09 (0.05) ⁺	0.08 (0.05)	-0.02 (0.06)
Fairness	-0.01 (0.05)	-0.02 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.14 (0.05)**	-0.13 (0.05)*	-0.06 (0.06)
Authority	0.01 (0.06)	0.03 (0.06)	-0.02 (0.06)
Purity	0.02 (0.04)	0.04 (0.04)	-0.00 (0.04)
Ideology		-0.04 (0.02)	-0.11 (0.03)**
Gender			0.54 (0.07)***
Age			0.01 (0.00) ⁺
Party ID			0.15 (0.05)**
Political Interest			-0.21 (0.05)***
Education			-0.04 (0.03)
Income			-0.02 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.01 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.02 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Strongly Favor/Somewhat Favor	-1.08 (0.20)	-1.17 (0.22)	-0.80 (0.31)
Somewhat Favor/Neither Favor nor Oppose	-0.12 (0.19)	-0.21 (0.22)	0.21 (0.31)
Neither Favor nor Oppose/Somewhat Oppose	0.33 (0.19)	0.24 (0.22)	0.68 (0.31)
Somewhat Oppose/Strongly Oppose	0.88 (0.19)	0.79 (0.22)	1.24 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1122	1096	1008
Log Likelihood	-1757.44	-1714.80	-1533.45
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1764.20	-1719.30	-1535.24
Likelihood Ratio	13.52*	9.00	3.58

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, + $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Strongly Favor–164; Somewhat Favor–350; Neither Favor nor Oppose–208; Somewhat Oppose–205; Strongly Oppose–218.

Table A. 28: In Response to Chinese Invasion of Taiwan

For each of the following hypothetical situations, please indicate whether you would favor or oppose the use of U.S. troops: If China invaded Taiwan.

	Foundations Only	With Ideology	Full Controls
Harm	0.15 (0.05)**	0.14 (0.05)**	0.09 (0.06)
Fairness	-0.03 (0.06)	-0.05 (0.06)	-0.00 (0.06)
Ingroup	-0.23 (0.05)***	-0.23 (0.05)***	-0.20 (0.06)***
Authority	0.09 (0.06)	0.12 (0.06)*	0.11 (0.06) ⁺
Purity	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.01 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.04)
Ideology		-0.06 (0.02)*	-0.07 (0.03)*
Gender			0.29 (0.07)***
Age			0.00 (0.00)
Party ID			0.03 (0.05)
Political Interest			-0.14 (0.06)*
Education			-0.02 (0.03)
Income			-0.04 (0.03)
Religiosity			0.00 (0.03)
Tradable Sector			-0.04 (0.13)
Cut Points			
Strongly Favor/Somewhat Favor	-1.63 (0.20)	-1.80 (0.23)	-1.76 (0.32)
Somewhat Favor/Neither Favor nor Oppose	-0.62 (0.20)	-0.78 (0.23)	-0.71 (0.31)
Neither Favor nor Oppose/Somewhat Oppose	0.10 (0.20)	-0.06 (0.23)	0.02 (0.31)
Somewhat Oppose/Strongly Oppose	0.72 (0.20)	0.57 (0.23)	0.67 (0.31)
Num. Obs.	1102	1077	991
Log Likelihood	-1650.29	-1609.94	-1466.40
Log Likelihood without Foundations	-1669.02	-1622.25	-1475.53
Likelihood Ratio	37.47***	24.63***	18.26**

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, ⁺ $p < 0.1$

Distribution of Responses: Strongly Favor–59; Somewhat Favor–236; Neither Favor nor Oppose–298; Somewhat Oppose–252; Strongly Oppose–281.

4 Leave one Out Cross Validation Error Estimates

Table A. 29: Reduction in Error When Including Foundations

Dependent Variable	Correct Predictions			Improvement
	Null (% Correct)	Controls (% Correct)	Controls + Foundations (% Correct)	
Goals of US Foreign Policy				
Protecting Weaker Nations Against Foreign Aggression	588 58.39%	588 58.39%	594 58.99%	6
Promoting Democracy	463 46.58%	487 48.99%	499 50.2%	12
Protecting Human Rights	499 48.49%	549 53.35%	575 55.88%	26
Maintaining Superior US Military Power	443 43.47%	518 50.83%	570 55.94%	52
Strengthening the UN	467 46.56%	469 46.76%	505 50.35%	36
Stopping the Flow of Illegal Drugs into US	434 42.22%	502 48.83%	548 53.31%	46
Agree/Disagree Questions				
Developed Countries Have Moral Obligation to Reduce Hunger	401 39.01%	410 39.88%	442 43%	32
US Should Make More Decisions within the UN	393 39.1%	417 41.49%	430 42.79%	13
Econ Power More important than Military power	404 39.92%	419 41.4%	424 41.9%	5
War Sometimes Necessary to Obtain Justice	469 45.8%	479 46.78%	480 46.88%	1
War Sometimes Necessary to Maintain Order in World	485 47.13%	485 47.13%	497 48.3%	12
US Should Provide Food and Medicine to Needy	422 40.85%	423 40.95%	421 40.76%	-2
US Should Provide Development Aid	397 38.54%	395 38.35%	408 39.61%	13
US Should Provide Aid to Increase its Influence	315 30.7%	315 30.7%	363 35.38%	48
Growing Trade and Business Ties With Other Countries are Good	493 48.24%	492 48.14%	500 48.92%	8
US Should Limit Import of Foreign Products	298 29.33%	304 29.92%	314 30.91%	10
US Should Limit Immigration By Low-skill Workers	251 24.85%	281 27.82%	304 30.1%	23
US Should Limit Immigration By High-skill Workers	273 27.19%	292 29.08%	302 30.08%	10
Our Culture Needs Protection Against Foreign Influence	314 30.84%	369 36.25%	417 40.96%	48

US Should Exert Strong Leadership in World	423 41.11%	425 41.3%	436 42.37%	11
Would you favor or oppose the use of US troops...				
To Stop a Genocide	410 39.81%	449 43.59%	467 45.34%	18
To Ensure the Oil Supply	287 28.03%	346 33.79%	361 35.25%	15
To Deal with Humanitarian Crises	476 46.26%	472 45.87%	474 46.06%	2
To Prevent Islamist Takeover of Pakistan	264 26.11%	287 28.39%	282 27.89%	-5
In Response to North Korean Invasion of SK	311 30.85%	310 30.75%	301 29.86%	-9
In Response to Chinese Invasion of Taiwan	261 26.34%	265 26.74%	282 28.46%	17
All	10241 38.71%	10748 40.62%	11196 42.31%	448

5 Priming Experiments

The balance tables for the Care/Harm and Loyalty/Betrayal priming experiments are shown below. Of particular interest is the last row in each table, labelled “Used Target”, which counts the respondents who used the target word in the test sentence. The substantial, statistically significant differences between the treatment and control groups on this variable show that the treated respondents “took” the prime.

Table A. 30: Balance Table for Care/Harm Priming

Covariate	Treatment	Control	Difference	P-value
Proportion Male	0.481	0.486	-0.004	1.000
Mean Education (6 Point Scale)	3.782	3.749	0.033	0.758
Mean Ideology (7 Point Scale)	3.385	3.176	0.209	0.175
Used Target	0.634	0.374	0.259	0.000
Number of Respondents	243	243		

Table A. 31: Balance Table for Loyalty/Betrayal Priming

Covariate	Treatment	Control	Difference	P-value
Proportion Male	0.574	0.534	0.040	0.406
Mean Education (6 Point Scale)	3.498	3.619	-0.121	0.208
Mean Ideology (7 Point Scale)	3.181	3.341	-0.159	0.279
Used Target	0.586	0.433	0.153	0.000
Number of Respondents	251	268		